Exhibit 1

Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 Master docket No. 18-MD-2865 (LAK) Case Nos. 18-cv-09505 3 IN RE: 4 5 CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKATTEFOR VALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND SCHEME 6 LITIGATION. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 REMOTE VTC VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UNDER ORAL 15 **EXAMINATION OF** PERRY LERNER 16 17 DATE: September 16, 2020 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 REPORTED BY: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR

> GregoryEdwards, LLC | Worldwide Court Reporting GregoryEdwards.com | 866-4Team GE

Page 127

1 Q All right. And do you recall --2 MS. RICE: On page 9? Oh, there's 3 more than one page 9, Marc. 4 MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay. Let's do it 5 this wav. Do you have in front of you the 6 7 page where your signature appears, and on the top of the page, it says "In witness 8 9 whereof?" 10 Α There are -- I have two of those 11 that are exactly the same except one of them 12 doesn't have my signature. 13 Q Right. I think there's probably 14 multiple copies because different people 15 signed. But do you have the one that has 16 17 your signature? 18 Α I do. 19 And so it appears that Okay. 20 you've signed this partnership agreement on 21 behalf of the Loggerhead Services plan as one 22 of the general partners? 23 Α Yes, I see that. It's a separate 24 signature page, yeah. 25 Do you recall having signed a Q

> GregoryEdwards, LLC | Worldwide Court Reporting GregoryEdwards.com | 866-4Team GE

Page 128

1 Loggerhead plan partnership agreement such as 2 this? 3 Α No, I don't. 4 As you sit here today, do you 5 believe that this is an instance where you got a signature page without either receiving 6 7 or reviewing the body of the document itself? Α 8 Yes. 9 0kay. On the first page of the 10 agreement, it's an agreement entered into 11 between Loggerhead -- so can you turn to the 12 first page? And once you're there, I will ask 13 14 you a question. 15 0kav. 16 Do you see in the first paragraph, 17 it says, "This is a general partnership 18 agreement between the Loggerhead Plan, 19 RAK Investment Trust, and Routt Capital Trust?" 20 21 Α Yes. 22 And I think it said on the Q 23 signature page -- you don't have to look 24 now -- but that it was effective around 25 August of 2014.

Page 129

1	At any time around that time frame
2	were you aware that this partnership was
3	formed?
4	A No. It's undated on the first
5	page, so I don't know when it was formed.
6	Q Okay. Did there come a time where
7	you became aware that it was formed prior to
8	the lawsuits?
9	A No.
10	Q And do you know anything about a
11	split of interests in this plan amongst those
12	three entities where Loggerhead gets five
13	percent, RAK Investment Trust gets
14	25 percent, and Routt Capital gets
15	70 percent?
16	A No.
17	Q All right.
18	Do you know who Robert Klugman is?
19	A No, I don't.
20	Q Did anyone ever identify him to you
21	as someone involved with this trading
22	strategy?
23	A No, I don't remember that.
24	Q So if the Loggerhead plan had
25	formed a partnership with an entity

GregoryEdwards, LLC | Worldwide Court Reporting GregoryEdwards.com | 866-4Team GE

Page 130

1 associated with Mr. Klugman, would you have 2 any understanding as to why that was? 3 Α No, I didn't. 4 Q 0kay. And do you have any 5 knowledge of Routt Capital Trust being associated with Mr. Markowitz? 6 7 No. 8 Q Do you have any understanding as to 9 why the Loggerhead plan entered into a 10 partnership in which Routt Capital received 70 percent of the interest? 11 12 Α No. 13 Q Do you know whether the -- well, I 14 take it you didn't know there was such a 15 partnership. So if I asked you things about the 16 17 partnership as they appear in the agreement, 18 you're not going to have knowledge of that. 19 Is that fair? 20 Α No. I won't. 21 But were you aware the other four Q 22 plans -- the Eclouge plan, the First Ascent 23 plan, the PAB Facilities plan and the 24 Trailing Edge plan -- entered into similar 25 partnership agreements with the same two